UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/823,414	04/12/2004	Gil Tene	AZULP005	3247
VAN PELT, YI & JAMES LLP 10050 N. FOOTHILL BLVD #200 CUPERTINO, CA 95014		009	EXAMINER	
			HOUSHMAND, HOOMAN	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2419	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/04/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/823,414	TENE ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Hooman Houshmand	2419
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeariod for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by status Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12. 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	nis action is non-final. vance except for formal matters, pr	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-33 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrest signal of the above claim(s) is/are withdrest signal of the above claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-33 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examination is objected to by the Examination is objected.	rawn from consideration. /or election requirement. ner.	
10)☑ The drawing(s) filed on <u>04/12/2004</u> is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to th Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the B	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority document a. ☐ Certified copies of the priority document a. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applicat iority documents have been receiv au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal I 6) Other:	ate

Art Unit: 2419

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

- 2. Claims 25, 32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.
- 3. The limitation (claim 25 line 4; claim 32 line 5) "determining whether a switch is capable of stitching based on the reply" is not adequately described in the specification. "Switching capability" is not adequately described.
- 4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 5. Claims 3, 9, 10, 12, 14, 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 6. The limitation (claim 3) "establishing a second connection between the shell VM and a core VM" is unclear. There is no reference to a first connection.

Art Unit: 2419

7. The limitation (claim 9) "a packet received by a core VM appears to have been sent by the shell VM" is unclear. It is unclear what is meant by "appears".

- 8. The limitation (claim 10) "a packet received by an external application appears to have been sent by the shell VM" is unclear. It is unclear what is meant by "appears".
- 9. The limitation (claim 12) "the shell VM and a core VM communicate over a separate communications link" is unclear. It is unclear what the link is separate from.
- 10. The limitation (claim 14) "the shell VM determines whether to redirect the information" is confusing. The parent claim states "redirecting the information to bypass the shell VM". However, if the shell VM determines whether to redirect the information then the shell VM has already processed the information and there is a conflict with the aforementioned bypassing the shell VM.
- 11. Claim 28 line 2: "the device that sent the discovery packet" lacks antecedent basis.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

12. Claim 27 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Dowling (US 6636499 B1).

Claim 27. Dowling discloses a method of responding to a discovery packet comprising: receiving the discovery packet at a switch (4:56-67 discovery protocol logic receives discovery protocol packets); and sending a response indicating a capability of the switch (Fig. 2B; 4:56-67 discovery protocol logic processes, and sends discovery protocol packets to neighboring network devices on the network) (10:40-67).

Page 4

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 13. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 14. Claims 1, 3, 5, 6, 9, 12-15, 22-24, 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Galyas (US 6138020 A), in view of McGuire (US 20030097360 A1).
- Claim 1. Galyas teaches a method of redirecting information; initially sending information (11:66-12:14 information that is being routed to mobile station) to a machine (11:66-12:14 first base station controller that has been communication with the mobile station); and redirecting the information to bypass the machine (11:66-12:14 handover; Figures 1, 1a, 2, 2a).

Galyas does not disclose the machine includes a virtual machine.

In the same field of endeavor, McGuire teaches ([0050] Java virtual machine VM; FIGS. 1 and 2) the machine includes a virtual machine - e.g. a shell VM.

The combination of McGuire with Galyas teaches sending information to a shell VM; and redirecting the information to bypass the shell VM.

It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art, at the time that the invention was made, to combine the teachings of McGuire with Galyas – thus modifying Galyas to contain *virtual machines* at various locations that the Mobile Station connects to – so that the Mobile Station runs a plurality of threads remotely.

Claim 3. Galyas does not disclose establishing a second connection between the shell VM and a core VM.

In the same field of endeavor, McGuire teaches establishing a second connection between the shell VM and a core VM ([0050] Java virtual machine VM) ([0073] information being accessed, master Java VM, client Java VM).

It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art, at the time that the invention was made, to combine the teachings of McGuire with Galyas – thus modifying Galyas to contain *virtual machines* at various locations that the Mobile Station connects to – so that the Mobile Station runs applications remotely.

Claim 5. Galyas further teaches redirecting includes receiving the information at a switch (11:66-12:14 a switch in the second Base Station Controller will then stitch the

channels to the correct Base Transceiver Station, under its control and will transmit to, and from, the Mobile Station 30 after completion of the handover).

Claim 6. Galyas further teaches the information is redirected to a machine (11:66-12:14 handover).

Galyas does not disclose the information is redirected to a VM.

In the same field of endeavor, McGuire teaches ([0050] Java virtual machine) machine is a virtual machine – e.g. a core VM.

The combination of McGuire with Galyas discloses the information is redirected to a core VM.

It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art, at the time that the invention was made, to combine the teachings of McGuire with Galyas – thus modifying Galyas to contain *virtual machines* at various locations that the Mobile Station connects to – so that the Mobile Station runs a plurality of threads.

Claim 9. Galyas further teaches *information received by one machine appears to have been sent by another machine* (11:66-12:14 first Base Station Controller, second Base Station Controller).

Galyas does not disclose virtual machines.

In the same field of endeavor, McGuire teaches ([0050] Java virtual machine VM) virtual machines.

The combination of McGuire with Galyas discloses information received by one virtual machine appears to have been sent by another virtual machine – e.g. information received by a core virtual machine appears to have been sent by a shell virtual machine.

It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art, at the time that the invention was made, to combine the teachings of McGuire with Galyas – thus modifying Galyas to contain *virtual machines* at various locations that the Mobile Station connects to – so that the Mobile Station runs a plurality of threads remotely.

Claim 12. Galyas does not disclose shell VM and a core VM communicate over a separate communications link.

In the same field of endeavor, McGuire teaches ([0050] Java virtual machine VM) shell VM and a core VM communicate over a separate communications link ([0073] master Java VM, client Java VM).

It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art, at the time that the invention was made, to combine the teachings of McGuire with Galyas – thus modifying Galyas to contain *virtual machines* at various locations that the Mobile Station connects to – so that the Mobile Station runs applications remotely.

Claim 13. Galyas further teaches determining that the information should be redirected (11:66-12:14 handover).

Claim 14. Galyas further teaches a machine determines whether to redirect the information (11:66-12:14 handover).

Galyas does not disclose the machine includes a virtual machine.

In the same field of endeavor, McGuire teaches ([0050] Java virtual machine VM 40 functions as a virtual operating system, supporting Java application 50, including multiple threads, T1 180 and T2 185; FIGS. 1 and 2) the machine includes a virtual machine – e.g. a shell VM.

The combination of McGuire with Galyas discloses the shell VM determines whether to redirect the information.

It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art, at the time that the invention was made, to combine the teachings of McGuire with Galyas – thus modifying Galyas to contain *virtual machines* at various locations that the Mobile Station connects to – so that the Mobile Station runs a plurality of threads remotely.

Claim 15. Galyas further teaches a machine determines whether to redirect the information (11:66-12:14 handover).

Galyas does not disclose the machine includes a virtual machine.

In the same field of endeavor, McGuire teaches ([0050] Java virtual machine VM) the machine includes a virtual machine – e.g. a core VM.

The combination of McGuire with Galyas discloses the core VM determines whether to redirect the information.

It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art, at the time that the invention was made, to combine the teachings of McGuire with Galyas – thus modifying Galyas to contain *virtual machines* at various locations that the Mobile Station connects to – so that the Mobile Station runs a plurality of threads remotely.

Claim 22. Galyas further teaches a switch (11:66-12:14 handover the switch 120) and a machine redirects the information (11:66-12:14 second Base Station Controller 51).

Galyas does not disclose the machine includes a virtual machine.

In the same field of endeavor, McGuire teaches ([0050] Java virtual machine VM) the machine includes a virtual machine - .e.g. a core VM.

The combination of McGuire with Galyas discloses a device that includes a switch and a core VM redirects the information.

It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art, at the time that the invention was made, to combine the teachings of McGuire with Galyas – thus modifying Galyas to contain *virtual machines* at various locations that the Mobile Station connects to – so that the Mobile Station runs a plurality of threads remotely.

Claim 23. Galyas further teaches a switch (11:66-12:14 handover the switch 120) and a machine redirects the information (11:66-12:14 second Base Station Controller 51).

Galyas does not disclose the machine includes a virtual machine.

In the same field of endeavor, McGuire teaches ([0050] Java virtual machine) the machine includes a virtual machine - .e.g. a shell VM.

The combination of McGuire with Galyas discloses a device that includes a switch and a shell VM redirects the information.

It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art, at the time that the invention was made, to combine the teachings of McGuire with Galyas – thus modifying Galyas to contain *virtual machines* at various locations that the Mobile Station connects to – so that the Mobile Station runs a plurality of threads remotely.

Claim 24. Galyas further teaches a switch (11:66-12:14 handover the switch 120) and machines (11:66-12:14 first Base Station Controller, second Base Station Controller 51) redirect the information (11:66-12:14 handover).

Galyas does not disclose the machine includes a virtual machine.

In the same field of endeavor, McGuire teaches ([0050] Java virtual machine VM) the machine includes a virtual machine - .e.g. a shell or core VM.

The combination of McGuire with Galyas discloses a device that includes the shell VM, a core VM, and a switch redirects the information.

It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art, at the time that the invention was made, to combine the teachings of McGuire with Galyas – thus modifying Galyas to contain *virtual machines* at various locations that the Mobile Station connects to – so that the Mobile Station runs a plurality of threads remotely.

Claim 29. Galyas teaches a system of redirecting information; initially sending information (11:66-12:14 information that is being routed to mobile station) to a machine

(11:66-12:14 first base station controller that has been communication with the mobile station), and a switch configured to redirect the information to bypass the machine (11:66-12:14 handover the switch 120).

Galyas does not disclose the machine includes a virtual machine.

In the same field of endeavor, McGuire teaches ([0050] Java virtual machine VM) the machine includes a virtual machine – e.g. a shell VM.

The combination of McGuire with Galyas teaches a device configured to send information to a shell VM; and a switch configured to redirect the information to bypass the shell VM.

It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art, at the time that the invention was made, to combine the teachings of McGuire with Galyas – thus modifying Galyas to contain *virtual machines* at various locations that the Mobile Station connects to – so that the Mobile Station runs a plurality of threads remotely.

15. Claims 2, 4, 7, 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Galyas, in view of McGuire as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Williams (US 20030055877 A1).

Claim 2. Galyas does not disclose establishing a connection between an external application and the VM.

In the same field of endeavor, Williams teaches ([0035] external application module executing on a remote computer processor within a JAVA Virtual Machine) establishing a connection between an external application and the VM.

It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art, at the time that the invention was made, to combine the teachings of Williams with Galyas – thus modifying Galyas to *connect virtual machine* at various locations that the Mobile Station connects to and *an external application* – so that the Mobile Station runs applications remotely.

Claim 4. Galyas does not disclose establishing a first connection between an external application and the shell VM.

In the same field of endeavor, Williams teaches ([0035] an external application module executing on a remote computer processor within a JAVA Virtual Machine) establishing a first connection between an external application and the shell VM.

It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art, at the time that the invention was made, to combine the teachings of Williams with Galyas – thus modifying Galyas to *connect virtual machine* at various locations that the Mobile Station connects to and *an external application* – so that the Mobile Station runs applications remotely.

Galyas does not disclose establishing a second connection between the shell VM and a core VM.

In the same field of endeavor, McGuire teaches establishing a second connection between the shell VM and a core VM: ([0050] Java virtual machine) the machine includes a virtual machine, and the virtual machines are connected ([0073] master Java VM, client Java VM itself).

It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art, at the time that the invention was made, to combine the teachings of McGuire with Galyas – thus modifying Galyas to contain *virtual machines* at various locations that the Mobile Station connects to – so that the Mobile Station runs applications remotely.

Galyas further teaches *stitching the first connection and the second connection* (11:66-12:14 switch, in the second Base Station Controller will then stitch the channels to the correct Base Transceiver Station, will transmit to, and from, the Mobile Station after completion of the handover).

Claim 7. Galyas further teaches the information is redirected (11:66-12:14 handover).

Galyas does not disclose the information is redirected to an external application.

In the same field of endeavor, Williams teaches ([0035] external application module executing on a remote computer processor within a JAVA Virtual Machine JVM communicates, makes a call via JAVA RMI, with application server facility to request service, application module, a JAVA servant) an external application.

The combination of Williams with Galyas discloses the information is redirected to an external application.

It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art, at the time that the invention was made, to combine the teachings of Williams with Galyas – thus modifying Galyas to *connect virtual machine* at various locations that the Mobile Station connects to and *an external application* – so that the Mobile Station runs applications remotely.

Claim 10. Galyas further teaches information received by one machine appears to have been sent by another machine (11:66-12:14 handover; first Base Station Controller; second Base Station Controller r).

Galyas does not disclose virtual machines.

In the same field of endeavor, McGuire teaches ([0050] Java virtual machine VM functions as a virtual operating system, supporting Java application, including multiple threads; FIGS. 1 and 2) *virtual machines*.

The combination of McGuire with Galyas discloses information received by one machine appears to have been sent by another virtual machine – e.g. information received by one machine appears to have been sent by a shell virtual machine.

It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art, at the time that the invention was made, to combine the teachings of McGuire with Galyas – thus modifying Galyas to contain *virtual machines* at various locations that the Mobile Station connects to – so that the Mobile Station runs a plurality of threads remotely.

Galyas does not disclose an external application.

In the same field of endeavor, Williams teaches ([0035] an external application module executing on a remote computer processor within a JAVA Virtual Machine JVM communicates, makes a call via JAVA RMI, with application server facility to request service) an external application.

The combination of Williams with Galyas discloses information received by an external application appears to have been sent by another machine

It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art, at the time that the invention was made, to combine the teachings of Williams with Galyas – thus modifying Galyas to *connect virtual machine* at various locations that the Mobile Station connects to and *an external application* – so that the Mobile Station runs applications remotely.

The combination of McGuire and Williams with Galyas discloses a packet received by an external application appears to have been sent by the shell VM.

16. Claims 8, 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Galyas, in view of McGuire as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Albert (US 20020141401 A1).

Claim 8. Galyas further teaches *the information is included in a connection* (11:66-12:14 transmit to, and from, the Mobile Station).

Galyas does not explicitly disclose the information is included in a TCP packet or TCP connection.

In the same field of endeavor, Albert discloses ([0094] client establishes a TCP connection with a virtual machine having a virtual IP address, client sends a SYN packet with a destination address corresponding to the virtual IP address, SYN packet is received by forwarding agent, forwards the SYN packet to service manager) *TCP* packet or *TCP* connection.

The combination of Albert with Galyas discloses the information is included in a TCP packet or TCP connection.

It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art, at the time that the invention was made, to combine the teachings of Albert with Galyas – thus modifying Galyas to utilize TCP information – to distribute packets among multiple tiers of network appliances.

Claim 11. Galyas further teaches information redirecting (11:66-12:14 handover).

Galyas does not explicitly disclose redirecting includes translating an address within a packet.

In the same field of endeavor, Albert discloses ([0094] client establishes a TCP connection with a virtual machine having a virtual IP address, client sends a SYN packet with a destination address corresponding to the virtual IP address, SYN packet is received by forwarding agent, forwards the SYN packet to service manager) translating an address within a packet..

The combination of Albert with Galyas discloses redirecting includes translating an address within a packet.

It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art, at the time that the invention was made, to combine the teachings of Albert with Galyas – thus modifying Galyas to utilize destination address information – to distribute packets among multiple tiers of network appliances.

17. Claim 16 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Galyas, in view of McGuire as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Simelius (US 20040001474 A1).

Claim 16. Galyas further teaches the information is redirected (11:66-12:14 handover).

Galyas does not teach the information is redirected once a connection associated with the information lasts longer than a certain period of time.

In the same field of endeavor, Simelius teaches ([0006] does not allow the connection to be maintained for periods longer than the time preset in the counter) connection associated with the information lasts longer than a certain period of time.

The combination of Simelius with Galyas discloses the information is redirected once a connection associated with the information lasts longer than a certain period of time.

It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art, at the time that the invention was made, to combine the teachings of Simelius with Galyas, thus modifying Galyas to implement a time out feature, so that the system resources are not monopolized by one connection.

Art Unit: 2419

18. Claim 17 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Galyas, in view of McGuire as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Kaneko (US 20040193895 A1).

Claim 17. Galyas further teaches the information is redirected (11:66-12:14 handover).

Galyas does not teach the information is redirected once a connection associated with the information sends more than a certain number of packets.

In the same field of endeavor, Kaneko discloses ([0062] packet check, monitors the number of packets with a specific destination port number transmitted) a connection associated with the information sends more than a certain number of packets.

The combination of Kaneko with Galyas discloses the information is redirected once a connection associated with the information sends more than a certain number of packets.

It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art, at the time that the invention was made, to combine the teachings of Kaneko with Galyas, thus modifying Galyas to implement a packet transmission monitoring feature, so that the system security is maintained.

19. Claim 18 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Galyas, in view of McGuire as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Coile (US 6104717 A).

Art Unit: 2419

Claim 18. Galyas further teaches the information is redirected (11:66-12:14 handover).

Galyas does not teach the information is redirected once the shell VM device carries a certain load.

In the same field of endeavor, Coile teaches (14:6–29 all of the physical machines can handle the traffic load for the virtual machine but the traffic load would be sufficient to overwhelm the remaining physical machines if one or more of the other physical machines failed) *VM device- .e.g. a shell VM - carries a certain load*.

The combination of Coile with Galyas discloses the information is redirected once the shell VM device carries a certain load.

It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art, at the time that the invention was made, to combine the teachings of Coile with Galyas, thus modifying Galyas to implement a back up machine strategy and transfer the load beyond a particular threshold, so that the system becomes fault tolerant.

20. Claim 19 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Galyas, in view of McGuire as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Herkerdorf (US 20040146044 A1).

Claim 19. Galyas does not teach *receiving a message indicating sending has been completed; and sending a control message*.

In the same field of endeavor, Herkerdorf discloses ([0054] last data packet of one TCP session has a FIN control bit, FIG. 4, set in the TCP header, FIN message is parsed, FIG. 1, header parser sends a control signal) *receiving a message indicating* sending has been completed; and sending a control message.

It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art, at the time that the invention was made, to combine the teachings of Herkerdorf with Galyas, thus modifying Galyas to implement a task completion algorithm, to close a connection when the communication session is over.

21. Claim 20 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Galyas, in view of McGuire as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Maturana (US 20020035681 A1).

Claim 20. Galyas does not teach receiving a message indicating that sending has been completed; and forwarding the message.

In the same field of endeavor, Maturana teaches ([0056] if a frame relates to TCP connection termination, the non-proxy agent will forward the frame to the server) receiving a message indicating that sending has been completed; and forwarding the message.

It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art, at the time that the invention was made, to combine the teachings of Maturana with Galyas, thus

modifying Galyas to implement task completion algorithms, to close a connection when the communication session is over.

22. Claim 21 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Galyas, in view of McGuire as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Murakami (US 7203757 B2).

Claim 21. Galyas does not teach receiving a message indicating that sending has been completed; translating the message; and sending the translated message.

In the same field of endeavor, Murakami teaches (4:46-5:17 when receiving the packet from the client, the translation device records the FIN DATA, and sends a packet in which the SYN is set to the server, the translation device sends an ACK to the server in response to the request received together with the FIN DATA) *receiving a message indicating that sending has been completed; translating the message; and sending the translated message.*

It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art, at the time that the invention was made, to combine the teachings of Murakami with Galyas, thus modifying Galyas to implement task completion algorithms, to close a connection when the communication session is over.

23. Claim 25 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chang (US 7249347 B2), in view of Banker (US 20030156552 A1).

Art Unit: 2419

Claim 25. Chang discloses a method of evaluating whether to redirect information comprising: *determining whether a switch is capable of stitching*.

(9:43-67 the stitching process can determine that switch port 1 is connected to host server, switch port 2 is connected to the NAS drive, and switch port 3 is connected to the SAN).

Chang does not teach sending a discovery packet; receiving a reply to the discovery packet.

In the same field of endeavor, Banker discloses ([0014] topology discovery messages) sending a discovery packet; receiving a reply to the discovery packet.

The combination of Banker with Chang teaches sending a discovery packet; receiving a reply to the discovery packet; and determining whether a switch is capable of stitching based on the reply.

It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art, at the time that the invention was made, to combine the teachings of Banker with Chang – thus modifying Chang to utilize discovery packets in the stitching determination process, to automate topology discovery.

24. Claims 26, 31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Galyas, in view of McGuire as applied to claim 22 above, and further in view of Lee (US 6785245 B1).

Art Unit: 2419

Claim 26. Galyas does not disclose determining the number of ingress and egress points on a device.

In the same field of endeavor, Lee teaches (8:1-12 On receipt of a graft request, the ingress node determines whether the addition of another egress point exceeds a maximum number of egress points dictated by a service level specification) *determining* the number of ingress and egress points on a device.

It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art, at the time that the invention was made, to combine the teachings of Lee with Galyas – thus modifying Galyas to monitor the number of egress points at an ingress node – to control the number of egress points at an ingress node in a multicast tree in a differentiated services.

Claim 31. Galyas does not disclose the machine includes a virtual machine.

In the same field of endeavor, McGuire teaches ([0050] Java virtual machine VM functions as a virtual operating system, supporting Java application, including multiple threads; FIGS. 1 and 2) the machine includes a virtual machine - .e.g. a core VM.

The combination of McGuire with Galyas discloses a device that includes a core VM.

It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art, at the time that the invention was made, to combine the teachings of McGuire with Galyas – thus modifying Galyas to contain *virtual machines* at various locations that the Mobile Station connects to – so that the Mobile Station runs a plurality of threads remotely.

Art Unit: 2419

25. Claim 28 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Galyas,

in view of McGuire as applied to claim 24 above, and further in view of Dowling.

Claim 28. Galyas does not disclose determining whether the switch is one hop away

from the device that sent the discovery packet.

In the same field of endeavor, Dowling teaches (13:29-65 device is downstream

of a member if it is one CDP hop further away from the commander than is the member)

determining whether the switch is one hop away from the device that sent the discovery

packet.

It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art, at the time

that the invention was made, to combine the teachings of Dowling with Galyas – thus

modifying Galyas to include cluster architecture detection capability – to automatically

discover networks.

26. Claim 30 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Galyas,

in view of McGuire, further in view of Lee as applied to claim 26 above, and further in

view of Williams.

Claim 30. Galyas does not disclose device includes an external application.

In the same field of endeavor, Williams teaches ([0035] an external application

module executing on a remote computer processor within a JAVA Virtual Machine JVM

communicates, makes a call via JAVA RMI, with application server facility to request service) *device includes an external application*.

It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art, at the time that the invention was made, to combine the teachings of Williams with Galyas – thus modifying Galyas to include an external application – so that the Mobile Station runs applications remotely.

27. Claim 32 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chang, in view of McGuire, and further in view of Banker.

Claim 32. Chang discloses a system and a switch for evaluating whether to redirect information comprising: a machine configured to determine whether a switch is capable of stitching

(9:43-67 the stitching process can determine that switch port 1 is connected to host server, switch port 2 is connected to the NAS drive, and switch port 3 is connected to the SAN).

Chang does not teach the machine includes a virtual machine.

In the same field of endeavor, McGuire teaches ([0050] Java virtual machine VM functions as a virtual operating system, supporting Java application, including multiple threads; FIGS. 1 and 2) the machine includes a virtual machine - e.g. a shell VM.

It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art, at the time that the invention was made, to combine the teachings of McGuire with Chang – thus

modifying Chang to contain *virtual machines* – so that the machine runs a plurality of threads.

Chang does not teach sending a discovery packet; receiving a reply to the discovery packet.

In the same field of endeavor, Banker discloses ([0014] topology discovery messages) sending a discovery packet; receiving a reply to the discovery packet.

It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art, at the time that the invention was made, to combine the teachings of Banker with Chang – thus modifying Chang to utilize discovery packets in the stitching determination process, to automate topology discovery.

The combination of McGuire, Banker with Chang teaches a shell VM configured to: send a discovery packet; receive a reply to the discovery packet; and determine whether a switch is capable of stitching based on the reply; and a switch configured to send a reply to the discovery packet.

28. Claim 33 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dowling, in view of McGuire.

Claim 33. Dowling discloses a system for responding to a discovery packet, comprising: a machine configured to send the discovery packet; and a switch configured to: receive the discovery packet; and respond with a capability of the switch (Fig. 2B; 4:56-67

discovery protocol logic receives, processes, and sends discovery protocol packets to neighboring network devices on the network) (FIG. 13, FIG. 14; 10:40-67; 13:29-65)

Dowling does not teach the machine includes a virtual machine.

In the same field of endeavor, McGuire teaches ([0050] Java virtual machine VM functions as a virtual operating system, supporting Java application, including multiple threads; FIGS. 1 and 2) the machine includes a virtual machine - e.g. a shell VM.

It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art, at the time that the invention was made, to combine the teachings of McGuire with Dowling – thus modifying Dowling to contain *virtual machines* – so that the machine runs a plurality of threads.

The combination of McGuire with Dowling teaches a shell VM configured to send the discovery packet; and a switch configured to: receive the discovery packet; and respond with a capability of the switch.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hooman Houshmand whose telephone number is (571)270-1817. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 8am - 5pm EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Hassan Kizou can be reached on (571)272-3088. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2419

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/H. H./ Examiner, Art Unit 2419

/Hassan Kizou/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2419